<u>REMARKS</u>

Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested. Claims 1-22

are pending. No claims have been added, cancelled, or amended.

Allowable Subject Matter

Examiner objected to claims 3-6 and 8-15 as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, and indicated that claims 16-17 and 19 are allowable.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the careful examination indicating this

allowable subject matter.

Claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

Claims 1-2, 7

Examiner rejected claims 1, 2 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being

anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,956,470 to Eschbach.

Eschbach discloses separating the detection of image jaggedness from the

processing required for smoothing an image. The input halftone image data enters a

mask first in first out (FIFO) through an ink filter and data FIFOs. A resolution

enhancement filter connected to the data FIFOs receives the image input data. The

image data is processed through a buffer to an image enhancement module to provide

image jaggedness detection signals, which signal the image enhancement module that

the input image data requires a switch in halftone structure. The image enhancement

module processes the image data from the buffer under the direction of the resolution

enhancement filter.

Serial. No.: 09/675,265 7 Docket No.: 003551.P007

Claim 1 recites:

A method for de-screening a halftone image, comprising:

performing a screen conversion filter upon a scanned
representation of said halftone image to produce an intermediate image;
and

performing a line smoothing filter upon said intermediate image to produce an output image.

(Emphasis Added). Eschbach does not teach or suggest performing a screen conversion filter upon a scanned representation of the halftone image to produce an intermediate image. As discussed earlier, Eschbach discloses that a halftone image is processed through a buffer to an image enhancement module to provide image jaggedness detection signals. Eschbach is silent about performing a screen conversion filter upon a scanned representation of the halftone image.

Thus, claims 1,2 and 7 are not anticipated by Eschbach.

Claim 18

Examiner rejected claim18 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Eschbach. Claim 18 recites:

computer-readable medium having stored thereon sequences of instructions, the sequences of instructions including instructions which, when executed by a processor, causes the processor to perform various processing, the sequences of instructions comprising:

a first sequence to <u>perform a screen conversion filter upon a</u> scanned representation of a halftone image to produce an intermediate <u>image</u>; and

a second sequence to perform a line smoothing filter upon said intermediate image to produce an output image.

(Emphasis Added). As discussed earlier, Eschbach does not teach or suggest performing a screen conversion filter upon a scanned representation of the halftone image to produce an intermediate image.

Serial. No.: 09/675,265 8 Docket No.: 003551.P007

Thus, claim 18 is not anticipated by Eschbach.

Claims 20-22

Examiner rejected claims 20-22 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Eschbach. Claim 20 recites:

A system for de-screening a halftone image, comprising: a memory to store an input image from a halftone print; and a processor to perform a screen conversion filter upon said input image and create an intermediate image, and to perform a line smoothing filter upon said intermediate image and create an output image.

(Emphasis Added). As discussed earlier, Eschbach does not teach or suggest performing a screen conversion filter upon a halftone image to create an intermediate image. Thus, claims 20-22 are not anticipated by Eschbach.

Serial. No.: 09/675,265 9 Docket No.: 003551.P007

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that in view of the discussion set forth herein, the applicable rejections have been overcome. Accordingly, the present and amended claims should be found to be in condition for allowance.

If a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact Judith Szepesi at (408) 720-8300.

If there are any additional charges/credits, please charge/credit our deposit account no. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated:

Judith A. Szepesi

Reg. No. 39,393

Customer No. 08791 12400 Wilshire Blvd. Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025 (408) 720-8300

Serial. No.: 09/675,265